

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/874,550	(06/04/2001	Tony Kroeker	AMAT/2640.C1/ATD/BG	6635
32588	7590	11/04/2003		EXAMI	NER
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. 2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061				BRATLIE, STEVEN A	
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXA	MINER
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the $\it EXAMINER$ in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed	ADVISORY ACTION	
b despires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate oxtension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate oxtension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.132(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed Applicant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.132(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed Applicant's proposed amendment to the claims and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: 1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: 2. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). 3. They arise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). 4. They arise new issues the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. 5. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 1. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. 1. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:	
b despires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate oxtension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate oxtension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.132(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed Applicant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.132(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed Applicant's proposed amendment to the claims and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: 1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: 2. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). 3. They arise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). 4. They arise new issues the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. 5. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 1. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. 1. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	a) It will expire 3 months from the data of the final Office action (including extensions of tir	ne granted)
whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension foe have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee the advances of the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed		
extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed	whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six more	
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed	extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding	onding amount of the fee.
to place the application in condition for allowarkee. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.118(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: CLAIMS 65, 71, 72. 2. Newly proposed or amended claimwould be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Will be as followed: Trival Rejection will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as followed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: CLAIMS 65, 71, 72 2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim		effect, but it is not deemed
earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c.	1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection st	ands because:
c.		and was not
d.	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: CLAIMS 65, 71, 72 2. Newly proposed or amended claim	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
NOTE: CLAIMS 65, 71, 72 2. Newly proposed or amended claim		olifying the issues
2. Newly proposed or amended claim	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
2. Newly proposed or amended claim	NOTE C/AIMS 65 7/ 72	·
amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3.		
amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3.		
amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3.		
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.		separately filed
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	3. Dupon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and will be as follows: queen in Final Research	the status of the claims
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant was abtain further examination by filling a request for an application under 27 CER 1.53(4) (CRA)		
However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant was abtain further exemplastics by filling a request for an application under 27 CER 1.52(4) (CRA).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant requesting techniques are presented as a present for an explication under 27 CER 1.52(4) (CRA)	Claims rejected:	
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Applicant way obtain further experiencias by filips a request for an application under 27 CER 1.53(4) (CRA).	However;	
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other	4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the	rejection because
presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other		
Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	easons why it was not earlier
Applicant may obtain further examination by filling a request for an application under 27 CER 1 52(d) (CRA)	☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.	
Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).	Other	
	Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (C	PA).
Stevenal, Buatt	$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$	tion a Beatle

FORM PTOL 303 (REV. 2/98)

STEVEN A. BRATLIE PRIMARY EXAMINER